Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 MAY 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

10/535

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(I CI Aide	me 36 and Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference P-TARKET11WO	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP2003/050676		date (day/month/year) 003 (01.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 décembre 2002 (02.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na B32B 27/32, B60N 3/04	ational classification	and IPC	1
Applicant	TARK	ETT SAS	
This report is the international prelim Authority under Article 35 and transi	ninary examination re mitted to the applican	port, established by this t according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining
 This REPORT consists of a total of This report is also accompanied by A 			neet.
a. (sent to the applicant and t	to the International B	ureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:
sheets of the descri and/or sheets conta Administrative Ins	mining recultivations a	drawings which have be uthorized by this Author	en amended and are the basis of this report rity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
sheets which super beyond the disclos Supplemental Box.	ute in the internation	out which this Authority al application as filed, a	considers contain an amendment that goes s indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	icated in the Supple	immo a cequence lictina	e and number of electronic carrier(s)) and/or tables related thereto, in computer Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the
4. This report contains indications relations	ng to the following it	ems:	
Box No. I Basis of the rep	ort		
Box No. II Priority			
1 1		egard to novelty, inventive	ve step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of			
Citations and exp	pianations supporting	(2) with regard to novelt such statement	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No. VI Certain documents Box No. VII Certain defects in			
	n the international aptions on the internation		
	ions on the internatio	mai application	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report
15 avril 2004 (15.04.2004)		30 Dece	ember 2004 (30.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

Translation



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/050676

Box No	. I	Basis of the report		
1. With other	regard	to the language, this report is badicated under this item.	sed on the international application in the lan	guage in which it was filed, unless
	This which	report is based on translations f h is language of a translation furr	from the original language into the following ished for the purpose of:	g language,
		international search (under Rule	s 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international a	pplication (under Rule 12.4)	
		international preliminary examin	nation (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
furni	shed to are not	the receiving Office in response annexed to this report):	ational application, this report is based on to an invitation under Article 14 are referre	(replacement sheets which have been ed to in this report as "originally filed"
		nternational application as origina	ally filed/furnished	
		scription:		
	pages	* 3	1, 2, 4-9	, as originally filed/furnished
1	pages		received by this Authority on	14 December 2004 (14.12.2004)
			received by this Authority on	
	the cl	iims:		
1	pages			, as originally filed/furnished
	pages			ether with any statement) under Article 19
	pages pages		received by this Authority on	14 December 2004 (14.12.2004)
	pages		received by this Authority on	
	the dr	awings:		
	pages		1/1	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages		received by this Authority on	
	pages		received by this Authority on	
	a sequ	ence listing and/or any related ta	ble(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Se	quence Listing.
3.	The a	nendments have resulted in the c	ancellation of:	
Į .	H	the description, pages		
	=			
i		any table(s) related to sequence l	isting (specify):	
4.	made, (Rule	since they have been considere 70.2(c)).	(some of) the amendments annexed to this red to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as	eport and listed below had not been indicated in the Supplemental Box
}				
ł				
İ		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence li	isting (specify):	
* If iten	n 4 app	lies, some or all of those sheets m	nay be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/50676

I.	Basis	of the	report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

Amendments

The amendments submitted with the letter dated 13 December 2004 do not cause the subject matter of the application to be extended beyond the content of the application as filed. As a result, said amendments comply with the provisions of PCT Article 34(2)(b).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/50676

 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 				
Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES	
	Claims	-	NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12	YES	
	Claims	_	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES	
	Claims		NO	

Citations and explanations

1. PCT Article 33(2)

Document D1, which is considered to be the prior art 1.1 closest to the subject matter of claim 1, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document) a multilayer structure including, in the following order from the outermost to the innermost layer, (a) an outer layer of an ionomer resin containing a graft polyethylene; (b) an intermediate layer of a metallocene polyethylene resin directly attached to layer (a); and (c) a second layer consisting of an ionomer resin containing a graft polyethylene, which layer (c) is directly attached to layer (b). Said document also mentions (see claims 1-6; column 2, lines 30-51; column 4, line 65 to column 5, line 22; column 6, lines 16-21) a production method for this laminate and the use of same in the domain of solar cells.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 in that the metallocene in the intermediate olefin layer is present at a ratio of 1 to 40 parts by weight of metallocene for 100 parts

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

by weight of olefin.

The subject matter of claim 1 is, therefore, novel (PCT Article 33(2)), as is the subject matter of the corresponding use claim 12.

1.2 Document D3 is considered to be the closest prior art for assessing the inventive step of claim 9. Said document describes a method for producing a double-layer laminate structure by extrusion blow moulding a single-layer film, then flattening the resulting bubble (see claims 1, 6, 8 and 9; column 4, line 25 to column 5, line 45).

The subject matter of independent claim 9 differs from document D3 in that the production method described in the present application involves four steps of: extrusion blow moulding a metallocene ionomer/polyolefin laminate, flattening the resulting film, splitting said film in two, and then laminating said film with a polymer substrate.

It follows that the subject matter of method claim 9 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2. PCT Article 33(3)

2.1 The difference between the subject matter of claim 1 and document D1 is the selection of a metallocene concentration range and the effect of this difference is to allow an effective trade-off between the adhesion properties that result from adding said metallocene and control of the extrusion blow moulding process (see the description, page 6, lines -26). The problem that the present invention

is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of controlling the adhesion properties and the processability of the multilayer material.

None of the prior art documents describes or suggests the selection of a metallocene concentration range in order to solve the aforementioned problem and said selection is not at all obvious to a person skilled in the art. As a result, the solution to the problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is considered to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

An identical line of argument applies to the subject matter of claim 12. The use of the multilayer structure described in claim 1 in the domain of floor or wall coverings for vehicles is likewise not mentioned in the documents that constitute the closest prior art. As a result, the subject matter of claim 12 also appears to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

2.2 The effect of the difference between the subject matter of claim 9 and D3 is not known. The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of providing an alternative method for producing a multilayer structure.

Since the addition of the last two steps is not obvious from, or described or mentioned in, any of the available prior art documents, the subject matter of said claim 9 appears to involve an inventive step under the terms of PCT Article 33(3), as does that of the claims dependent on claim 9.